

Interview Summary



Applicant(s)

Examiner

Lau et

Suzette Jackson

Group Art Unit 3738



(1) <u>Suzette Jacks</u>	n	(3)
(2) <i>John Nagy</i>		
	Jan 13, 2000	
Type: 🛭 Teleph	nic	iven to 🗌 applicant 🔲 applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or (	emonstration conducted:	☐ Yes ⊠ No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement 🛚 🛣 w	s reached.	hed.
Claim(s) discussed	25 and 36	
Identification of pr		
the claims allowab		f the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable ed.)
. X It is not no	cessary for applicant to provi	ide a separate record of the substance of the interview.
AST OFFICE ACT Section 713.04).	ON IS NOT WAIVED AND M  a response to the last Office	to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE IUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP e action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH EMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.
each of th	objections, rejections and re now allowable, this complete	y above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to equirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the ed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.